

STPA at Europe's Rail

Felix Schaber, STAMP Workshop 2024

Hitachi Rail



Goals of Europe's Rail




Mission statement

 To deliver, via an integrated system approach, a high capacity, flexible, multi-modal, sustainable and reliable integrated European railway network by eliminating barriers to interoperability and providing solutions for full integration, for European citizens and cargo.




Europe's Rail Landscape





Our Use Case – Moving Block System





Eurobalise

Trackside Train Detection

Hazard Analysis for Moving Block System



High level of safety assurance

→ Need for comprehensive hazard analysis


Component interaction important for safety

Early stages of design

→ use STPA

System Evolution





Existing requirements



Difference in

System scope

Assumptions about system requirements

Strategy

Perform STPA

Extract solution concepts from requirements

Match loss scenarios to solution concepts

Identify Gaps

Example UCAs



Unsafe Control Action:

[UCA-MBS-16] MBS provides FS MA to OBU when the area reserved for train is not clear of other trains or obstacles

[UCA-MBS-17] MBS provides MA to OBU when other train or obstacles have insufficient distance from the flank of the area reserved for train movement

Operational context

Type of collision (head-on/rear-on/flank)

Type of vehicle (controlled vehicle/rollaway train)

ETCS mode (full supervision/on sight/staff responsible)

Track occupation (occupied/free/unknown)

Collision speed

. . .

Relevant solution concepts



Location of controlled trains

Reported Train Position

Reported Track Occupation

→ Train Location

Permitted location of controlled trains

Movement Authority

→ Area Reserved for Train

Location of unknown origin

Reported Track Occupation


→ Unresolved Trackbound Object





Linking Scenarios to Solution Concepts





Linked loss scenarios



Leads to loss scenarios with causal factors including:

Coupling of trains

Incorrect train lengths

Train separation

Roll-away after parking of trains

Prevention of loss scenarios linked to assumptions

Detection of roll-away trains by trackside train detectors

Received information about the infrastructure (geographical position of tracks, points, etc.) correctly represent physical reality

Validating Assumptions



Explicit sources

Assumptions stated within requirements

Assumptions stated within STPA

Implicit sources

Design of solution concepts

Responsibilities of other controllers

Differences in stakeholder viewpoints





Example Assumption



Assumption:

Received information about the infrastructure (geographical position of tracks, points, etc.) correctly represent physical reality

Viewpoints:

Moving block system: cannot validate physical correctness of position

Digital register: relies on infrastructure management data validation

IM data validation: exact process not specified as part of the analysis

→ Potentially gap regarding data validation





Lessons learned



Context of solution concepts is essential

Implicit assumptions may require reverse engineering

Domain experts greatly help guiding the search for loss scenarios



Covers areas where feedback is insufficient/too late automated controllers to prevent hazards

→ importance increases with automation

STPA fosters dialog between stakeholders

Makes context and associated assumptions explicit

Helps synchronize viewpoints early in the design process







Questions?