STAMP for Hospital Safety

STAMP Workshop 2019

Lawrence Wong, SM MIT

Lisa Singer, MD, PhD Dana-Farber Cancer Institute







Agenda

- Hospital safety, radiation oncology, MRI simulator
- Highlight of STPA on MRI simulator
- Challenges and suggestions for adopting STPA in health care
- Safety management activities with STPA results



Hospital Safety









MRI Simulator

- MR-guided Advanced Procedure and Simulation Suite (MAPS)
- New generation of 3T MRI adapted for RT
- Enables MR-guided simulation, brachytherapy, treatment response assessment





Advantage of MRI







Safety Needs for MRI Adoption



- No consensus guidelines specific to radiation oncology
 - Guidelines are taken from diagnostic radiology
- Devices more complex, with differing risks, than current standard devices

Opportunity for a STAMP Project!



STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator Losses

L1. The **patient** is **injured** or **killed** in the **process of MRI simulation**.

L2. A **nonpatient** is injured or killed in the process of MRI simulation.

L3. The patient is injured or killed from **subsequent treatment** due to **inaccurate** MRI simulation.

L4. Damage or loss of equipment.



STPA for Implementation of MRI Hazards

H1. Ferromagnetic object exposed to a large magnetic field [L1, L2, L4]

H2. Electronic, electrical, and mechanical device exposed to large magnetic or RF fields [L1, L2, L4]

H3. Human or equipment exposure to cryogen or quench gas [L1, L2, L4]













STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator Hazards (cont.)

H4. Human exposure to loud noise [L1, L2]

H5. Human overexposure to large magnetic field or RF field [*L1, L2*]











STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator Hazards (cont.)

H6. Simulation acquired is wrong patient, wrong location, wrong iso, or with poor immobilization [L3]

H7. Simulation acquired contains artifacts or otherwise poor image quality, or not transmitted for RT planning [L3]

. . .



STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator





STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator





STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator UCA: MRI software change fields even when true SAR* limits are exceeded. [H5]



*Specific absorption rate (SAR) [W/kg]

a stress indicator of RF energy being deposited to the patient's body





STPA for Implementation of MRI Simulator

<u>UCA</u>: Using 1st level control mode* or above when MR sequence can be obtained in normal mode [H5]



Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Value of Adopting STPA

- Ability to analyze the system prior to go live
- Better results than analysis via other means
 - Improved comprehensiveness from expanded scope
 - Broader set of loss scenarios considered
- Opportunity for thorough system familiarization

Ability to inform safety management, especially safety policy making





Challenges in Adopting STPA in Health Care



Suggestions

- Attend a workshop and/or practicum before starting the analysis
- Have a cheat sheet (one-page STAMP definitions and tips on system mapping)
- Include a systems engineer on the team







Challenges in Completing STPA in Health Care

Challenge

Identifying resources for answers to technical questions when information is proprietary





Supporting Safety Management

- Safety management goes beyond defining frontline procedures
- STPA results are useful for long-term safety management activities



Common False Sense of Security

Substantial under-reporting in health care



Top 5 barriers for reporting for doctors

No incident follow-up (57.7%)

Form was too long; lack of time (54.2%)

Incident seemed "trivial" (51.2%)

Ward was busy; forgot (47.3%)

Not sure who is responsible to report (37.9%)



Safety Monitoring in Health Care

What makes a metric useful for safety monitoring?

- Important to the organization
- Represent what they intend to measure
- Usable for the people expected to employ the data to improve safety
- Produce similar results when used repeatedly
- Affordable to collect

I	Assumption-based
	Directly traceable to hazards and accidents
	Identifies flaws in engineering practices or operational behavior
d to	Accompanied by hedging actions to prepare for the possibility that an assumption will fail

Requires thoughtful implementation





Leading Indicator of Risk for MRI Simulator

Assumption:

• All patients are registered ahead of time with no "emergency patients" (UCA46)

Leading indicator:

• Time between patient scheduling and the MRI scan

Hedging action:

 Requires pre-MRI X-ray imaging for risk-benefit assessment



Priming Incident Reporters



Summary

- STPA enhances safety and system familiarization for MRI simulator implementation
- Solutions exist to overcome challenges of adopting STPA in health care
- STPA results can support continual safety management



Acknowledgements

- Elizabeth Huynh, PhD, Radiation Oncology, BWH/DFCI
- Christopher Williams, PhD, Radiation Oncology, BWH/ DFCI
- Raymond Mak, MD, Radiation Oncology, BWH/DFCI
- Allia Kaza, PhD, Radiation Oncology, BWH/DFCI
- MR Safety Committee, Radiation Oncology, BWH/DFCI

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

- Hakim Lakhani, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
- Jeffrey Durney, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
- Nancy Leveson, PhD, MIT



Lawrence Wong, SM I_wong@mit.edu

Lisa Singer, MD, PhD Lisa_Singer@DFCI.harvard.edu



