A New Process for Building STPA causal scenarios

John Thomas

Old STPA Step Scenario Approach



New Scenario-Building Process

Goals

- Dramatically improve efficiency of STPA
- Start with high-level scenarios (quick, easy)
 - Then refine as needed
- Provide a way to prove the high-level analysis is complete
- Automatically generate complete set of basic scenarios if possible
 - (it is, given results from previous STPA steps!)



New Evolutionary Power Reactor



Accidents (Losses)

- A-1: Death or injury to people
- A-2: Environmental damage
- A-3: Equipment loss/damage
- A-4: Loss of electricity generation





Accidents (Losses)

- A-1: Death or injury to people
- A-2: Environmental damage
- A-3: Equipment loss/damage
- A-4: Loss of electricity generation





System Control Structure



More Detailed Control Structure

System Responsibilities

- Allow secondary cooling flow during normal operation
- Stop secondary cooling flow during certain emergency conditions



Unsafe Control Actions

UCA: NSSC provides Close MSIV cmd when there is no rupture

Safety problem or security problem?



Summary of Unsafe Control Actions

Control Action	Unsafe Control Actions			
	Not Providing Causes Hazard	Providing Causes Hazard	Wrong Timing or Order Causes Hazard	Stopped Too Soon or Applied Too Long
Close MSIV	NSSC does not provide Close MSIV when there is a rupture in the S/G tube, main feedwater, or main steam line and the	NSSC provides Close MSIV when there is a rupture and other support systems are inadequate [H-1, H-2, H- 3]	NSSC provides Close MSIV too early (while SG pressure is high): SG pressure may rise, trigger relief valve, abrupt steam expansion [H-2, H-3]	N/A
	support systems are adequate [H-2, H-1, H-3]	NSSC provides Close MSIV when there is no rupture [H-4]	NSSC provides Close MSIV too late after SGTR: contaminated coolant released into secondary loop, loss of primary coolant through secondary system [H-1, H-2, H-3]	

Unsafe Control Actions

UCA: NSSC provides Close MSIV command when there is no rupture

How to build scenarios from this?





More Detailed Control Structure



Pressurizer pressure, level

The UCA text is describing conditions in this region.

The UCA is saying that these conditions can work together to cause an overall system Hazard. A possible classification of factors that appear in scenarios



All must be considered when building scenarios

UCA: NSSC provides Close MSIV when there is no rupture [...]

Class 1 Basic Scenario: Unsafe Decisions

- NSSC provides close command
- There is no rupture indication

There may be several different causes that could explain this. We may need to consult SMEs who know the system but not STPA. We can use this basic scenario to generate SME questions, find the specific causes, and refine this scenario in more detail.

Generated question: What could cause the NSSC Computer to close the MSIV when no rupture is indicated?

- Potential emergency conditions that override normal behavior?
- Any default behaviors that may trigger Close MSIV?



- Etc.

UCA: NSSC provides Close MSIV when there is no rupture [...]

Class 2 Basic Scenario: Unsafe Feedback

- NSSC receives rupture indication
- There is no rupture

The UCA may be caused by unsafe feedback (Class 2). We can use this basic scenario to generate SME questions, find the specific causes, and refine this scenario in more detail.

Generated question: What could cause a digital rupture indication when there is no rupture?

- How can this happen due to a failure?
- How can this happen without any failure?





Even if the MSIV is open, it may not be effective if the process behavior is unsafe.

Example generated question: How might cooling still be inadequate even if MSIV is successfully opened?

Class 4 Basic Scenario: Unsafe Process Behavior

MSIV open

Cooling not provided

NSSC Computer Control Process Model Algorithm **Priority** Module Sensors Motor **MSIV Physical Process**

All classes should be considered when building scenarios: Class 1) Unsafe Decisions

- NSSC provides close command
- There is no rupture indication
- Class 2) Unsafe Feedback & Other Inputs
 - NSSC receives rupture indication
 - There is no rupture
- Class 3) Unsafe Process Behavior
 - MSIV not closed
 - Cooling not provided
- Class 4) Unsafe Control
 - NSSC does not provide close command
 - MSIV closes





UCA:

NSSC provides Close cmd to MSIV when there is no rupture

- 1. Inappropriate Decisions
 - NSSC provides close command
 - There is no rupture indication
- 2. Inadequate Feedback & Other Inputs
 - NSSC receives rupture indication
 - There is no rupture
- 3. Inadequate Process Behavior
 - MSIV not closed
 - Cooling not provided
- 4. Inadequate Control
 - NSSC does not provide close command
 - MSIV closes









Combining basic scenarios





Combining basic scenarios



Combining basic scenarios







Traditional Security

Cybersecurity: Protect computers, networks, etc.

Physical security: Add physical barriers "Guards, gates, guns"



Traditional Security



Conclusions

- Structured way to build scenarios
- Top-down approach
 - Start with basic scenarios, then add detail to refine them
 - Quicker than 100s of detailed scenarios
 - Focuses on fundamental issues first
- Scenarios can be easily combined
- Basic scenarios can be <u>automatically</u> generated from UCAs!
- Still need human creativity and expertise to refine scenarios, help identify UCAs, etc.